

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS -P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVE		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,872	02/12/2002	Yoshie Kanamori	100021-00069	2414
75	90 09/16/2003			
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			EXAMINER	
	ut Avenue, N.W.	NGUYEN, LONG T		
Washington, DC 20036-5339			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Q	K	И	را
٦.	"	,	_

In

Advisory Action

		_		0110
	Application No. 10/072,872		Applicant(s)	
			KANAMORI ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit		
	Long Nguyen		2816	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensifee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensifee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if	ion sion
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying th issues for appeal; and/or	e
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: The proposed changes in claims 38 and 39 requires further consideration and/or search.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendmen canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	t
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: 1,3,8-10,16-20,22,27-29 and 35-37.	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-6,11-15,23-25,30-34,38 and 39</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
() at 1 20 V a / 20 C 2	

الوران (وي ول

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the objection to the drawings and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph are maintained because applicant's arguments are not persuased. With respect to the objections to the drawings and the indefinite problems, applicant stated that "transistor 30 illustrated in Figures 8, 9 and 13-17 is one example of the recited fourth transistor" etc. However, the independent claims 1 and 17 does not read on these Figures because these Figures does not show a third transistor with the recited functions recited in independent claims 1 and 30. Note that the similar explanation also applies for other objections in the drawings as well as the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph.